

Oct. 26, 2011 (#949)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Oct. 26, 2011:
Blood Sacrifice then Claim the Prize:

"NATO's Ready for Syria, What a Surprise,
Media Rolls Out Same Formula Lies,
The Big Corporations Grabbing the Oil,
First Must have Blood Saturating the Soil,
Spoils of War Supersede All Laws,
Allowing the Rich to Get Their Paws
On Another Freebie, All from Battle,
Corpses in Body Bags, Young Cattle,
Who Care Not They're Being Used,
Late Adolescents, Hormonal, Confused,
Taxpayer Funded for Those Who Get,
Fat Men Get Spoils, The Public Get Debt"

© Alan Watt Oct. 26, 2011

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 26, 2011 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL *postal*
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is **Cutting Through The Matrix** on the 26th of
October 2011. For newcomers, I always start off by advising you to look into

cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site and help yourself to the audios which are there for free download; there's hundreds to choose from. Hopefully you'll get an understanding of the big system that runs the world. The world doesn't just roll around in space waiting for things to happen. You'll find that big powerful groups that have been in charge of the world for a long time run the world and they plan the future, and that's how they keep control. You must always plan the future to maintain control and bring your future in, in other words, if you're a planner. And that's really how it happens. That's what geopolitics, too, is all about. And you also have a form of geopolitics to do with the social orders as well. Because everything changes, not just those in power in other countries as they get thrown out of power and replaced by puppet governments, but they also change society from within as well. Academia is on board with this, worldwide, and academia is all connected together across the planet working towards - for their masters of course, and better positions in this future society - this new world order as they like to call it, a planned utopia for a *few*, eventually, down the road. Remember, we're post-industrial, we're post-consumer, we're into austerity now and basically we're the useless eaters. And I'm not kidding about that because the big players who helped design *this* part of the agenda wrote about this in their own books; they love to publish their thoughts for those who want to read them. So help yourself to the audios.

Remember too, you can buy the books and disks I have for sale at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and that keeps me ticking along. [Order and donation options listed above.] You'll see all the sites listed there; these are the only official sites I have; anything outside of those sites isn't mine, regardless if the name's on it or not. Remember, straight donations are awfully welcome in these days because we're all going through this inflationary cycle as we go through austerity, mass austerity as they like to call it.

As I say, what I try to do is to show you the groups, the foundations, the parallel government that others have talked about. Maggie Thatcher called it that and she joined it after she left her official position in politics, and also Carroll Quigley who was the historian for the Council on Foreign Relations, a worldwide organization by the way, and he said the same thing, IT'S A PARALLEL GOVERNMENT.

Most big reporters are members of it. In fact, all big reporters, *all* big reporters are members of it across the world. You have to be *asked* into the organization, you can't simply apply for it, and you must prove your worth to the cause, the cause of global governance as they like to call it and an ordered planned society, run on a kind of socialist basis. And of course, the big bankers, literally, are the ones behind all of this because the CFR branches are just the branches of the Royal Institute of International Affairs which was founded by the Milner Group joining with the Rhodes Foundation and they were all bankers, every last one of them that founded the organization and still run it today of course. And they put Prime Ministers and Presidents in as well, and have done for about 80 years now, or even more, according to Carroll Quigley's **Tragedy and Hope and The Anglo-American Establishment**. He was the historian for the group.

You're going through, as I say, to the average person it's simply a series of events. Now, all media is there NOT to influence, really, the events of things, but to influence the general population's *belief* on the reality and the reasons of why we go to wars, etc. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about this system that you think is simply evolving. And as I say, the mainstream media is there to keep that illusion going. Because they don't have to convince themselves, at the top, what they're really doing with the agenda - and it is a world agenda; it's out in the open these days - but they have to convince the public for the reasons that they go ahead and amalgamate whole continents. Like the EU for instance, rather than just pull out and go their own ways and hope they can survive, no, everyone must go down together. That's the impression they're trying to give Europe. So what they're really doing is convincing the public of the necessity for giving up all sovereignty, the last bits of sovereignty to the EU and go into this central banking system that's going to take charge of every single country with the IMF up there, the World Bank, etc, etc, and the Bank for International Settlements which is heavily involved in all of this today because the Bank for International Settlements is one of the biggest banks on the whole planet. That's the one that Quigley said would rise to the top. That's the one that deals with all of the cash debt and how they're going to pay off their debts, etc. And that's what they're doing right now across Europe.

So they must convince the general populations of the necessity for, as I say, giving up sovereignty and giving all powers over to the EU. That's what the EU was designed to be, was a huge integrated corporate bloc basically. It's a new type of soviet system as well, where they can take cash from one country and give it another, even to do with their welfare programs, take from one and give to another, etc. It's an awful powerful institution and that's what it was meant to be. It's a *power* structure and we should never forget that. Economics, in this system, everything runs around economics. So remember that all your laws, *all* your laws revolve around economics, including murder, just simple things like murder that happens all the time across the planet. Because when you get punished for murder it's because you're taking someone who's an *asset* out of the picture, someone who's going to pay taxes and produce for their bosses; that's really why you get punished.

And of course, we don't call it murder when Air Forces take off to bomb people so that the big powerful people can grab the assets in a country. Of course, they always go in for *humanitarian* reasons; that's why we go and *kill* folk. Do you realize we're living, literally, in a schizophrenic society? It's purposely made schizophrenic by those who control it, because there's nothing, as I've said for over so many years, nothing been studied on the planet so much as man himself. I mean, we see all these nature programs today and they're into beetles and they're putting little chips in them and following them across the planet wherever they go. And even rattlesnakes, in Canada, they follow with chips on them in collars. But that's nothing to do... Man himself has been the most studied species for thousands of years by those who rule. And when you do control people, even thousands of years ago, you don't just pass on the knowledge of how to do it by word of mouth. It's written down and it's kept in archives and archives are always kept. Archives of power are always kept for the few who rule. And that's the trick of holding on to the system, the system of cash rules basically.

And it will never change because those who... See, you're living in their system; I've said this for many, many years. The system that you were born and brought up in is not *your* system. It didn't evolve by your people by itself. It was forced upon them, a long, long, long time ago by those who had the money. And the

whole structure of money is international; therefore it's an internationalist movement of course. So everything revolves around your cash and we live, we breathe by it, actually, we eat by it. We don't go and plant things anymore. It's all dependent on the cash in your pockets. Big government can't exist without it; it needs cash. And of course, cash represents your labor, so when it's taken from you to be given to anybody else, or even to a boss or whatever, that cash that's supposed to be your wages ends up... that's called slavery actually. It's a form of slavery.

Now, I've talked about perpetual wars; CONSTANT CONFLICT is the actual term used by the military. And I've put up the site so many times about one of the articles from the military magazine, when they talked about Constant Conflict. It's not just across the planet, as I say; it's also inter-cultural as well, as they change all of the societies and destroy the previous cultures to bring in the new. And that's been awfully well accomplished as well. But we see this monotonous behavior, as I say, since Gulf War 1, this *monotonous* behavior of going into one country after another and the same lies come out each time they want to attack another country. And it works every time because the public cannot believe they're getting so blatantly lied to. That's how sheep generally are, and I say sheep because everyone's a sheep until they wake up.

Now, this article here is an awful article actually, from Fox News and it's about the next war of course. Israel wants it. The US wants it; there's no doubt about it. Because it's the same list, you see, as the New American Century group put out back in the 90s of all the countries they wanted to take out. Israel wanted them to go through one after another, whereas the US wanted to have a breathing space in between to build up the propaganda before they'd invade. So anyway, this one here is about Senator John McCain.

Sen. McCain Says U.S. May Consider Military Action in Syria

foxnews.com / October 23, 2011 / Associated Press

SOUTHERN SHUNEH, Jordan - U.S. Senator John McCain (*Alan: That's son of Cain, for some strange reason.*) said Sunday that military action to protect civilians in Syria might be considered now that NATO's air campaign in Libya is ending. (*A: Now, they were already planning that long before they even went into Libya, and discussing it. And they printed it out too because as I say, their list was printed back in the 90s and is simply being continued by Obama's group, that's really the same group behind the scenes. Anyway, it's the same propaganda. And we had Richard Haass who was the CFR top fella come out and admitted that, YEAH, THEY USED ALL THE PROPAGANDA ABOUT 'SAVING CIVILIANS' AND THAT WAS AN EXCUSE TO GET IN THERE. That came out in the mainstream and on the CFR's own site and I put it up there too; it's in the archives section at cuttingthroughthetmatrix.com. But here they go again.*)

However, President Barack Obama's administration has made clear it has no appetite for military intervention in Syria (*A: Well they have to say that because he's running again, you see. There's nothing truthful in this article at all.*) Syria -- a close ally of Iran (*A: See, so Iran's bad. That's like saying the world Nazi, you know. We've already smeared them until you just mention Iran and it's like OH, NAZI.*) that sits on Israel's border (*A: See they're taking*

out all of Israel's possible enemies.) -- and U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton noted Sunday that the Syrian opposition has not called for such action as President Bashar Assad's regime.

"Now that military operations in Libya are ending, there will be renewed focus on what practical military operations might be considered to protect civilian lives (A: *So here they go again with 'protecting civilians' and then afterwards the top guys, who run all the newspapers, like Haass in the CFR, will admit that it was just a lie that they used to get in. But why do they keep giving the same lies to the general public and then printing the truth? Well they must always reveal the method of how they conned you afterwards, knowing that we're all so stupid that we'll accept it the next time again too. That's how simple it is. So anyway...*) in Syria," McCain said at the World Economic Forum in Jordan. "The Assad regime should not consider that it can get away with mass murder. Qaddafi made that mistake and it cost him everything," (A: *Even though, of course, they gave blatantly false numbers of folk who were being killed in the first place. AND for the fact that the soft power tactics that were to lead into hard power were published years ago by those who set up the regime. Even the United Nations talked about it; soft power are the NGOs that go in from outside countries and agitate. They're professional; they've been trained how to agitate and get all the students to rebel, etc. And then that's followed up by hard power.*). . .

"Iran's rulers would be wise to heed similar counsel," McCain said.

It was not clear whether the Republican senator from Arizona was referring to American or NATO military action against the Syrian regime, which has waged a 7-month crackdown on opposition protesters (A: *And then they give the same kind of figures they gave for Libya...*) and killed about 3,000 people, according to the U.N.

The UN also, remember, is meant to be world government so they depend upon wars to give themselves more power, where they can turn round and say, *oh look, dear, dear, dear, look what they're doing now, you need world government.* The United Nations was set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs and Council on Foreign Relations to BE a world government. So there's nothing you can believe in a straight blatant propaganda piece like this, which it is, and you can't really believe, especially, these top writers that work for the CFR that are on every newspaper across the planet basically, in every country. Because that's their job, is to lie to you. They *all* want world government. And some of them have come out blatantly in their own magazines and said so. So anyway, that's what's happening across the world as far as the ongoing wars go and they haven't stopped yet, and after Syria they've got other countries to go as well, on the list.

Now, I've put out and I've read articles on the air over the years about the drug dealing coming in, by the CIA, into North America. It's as old as the hills actually. We had the Iran-Contra scandals, for those that care to go back and look at them again. We've had people who worked for the US Coast Guard, who had been in Special Forces before that, who came out and found out that other old mates, his old friends, were working for the CIA bringing the drugs *into* the country while his job was to *stop* them coming in. I put that up too, and that guy I think was eventually killed. But there's nothing new in this at all. Drugs have always been

used by the high elite for power. And the US used it, in fact, when they did go into Iraq. They dropped opium, blocks of it, from the air; that was in the mainstream as well. This says...

Mexican drug suspect: U.S. gave me immunity

cbsnews.com / October 26, 2011 / Sharyl Attkisson

(CBS News) A Mexican drug suspect awaiting trial in Chicago is making a startling claim. He insists he can't be prosecuted because he worked as an informant and had a secret immunity deal with the U.S. government.

Prosecutors say Vicente Zambada-Niebla oversaw drug running on a massive scale into the U.S. But now, from behind bars at a maximum security prison in Chicago, he's making his own explosive accusations -- that U.S. government agents have been aiding Mexico's infamous Sinaloa cartel -- even tipping off leaders on how to avoid capture. *(A: And I'll be back with more after this break.)*

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix and just talking about the drugs coming into the US, which they've been doing for years, and there's been so many scandals in the past about the CIA being involved in it. And it's not just the CIA. You'll find every intelligence group on the planet's involved in the cocaine industry; it's such big, big black budget cash they can get out of it too. Plus it takes down societies; you use drugs as weapons as well on societies too. Remember that, always remember that. Anyway, I'll put this link up tonight and I'll put all these articles up tonight in fact at cuttingthroughthematrix.com at the end of the broadcast.

And this other one is quite amazing too. It's to do with the Justice Department. It says...

Justice Department Proposes Letting Government Deny Existence of Sensitive Documents

foxnews.com / Shannon Bream / October 26, 2011

(A: In other words, the Justice Department is proposing legalizing that the government can lie to you, LEGALLY in other words, you know. They've always done it. I mean, that's what politics is. But it's quite interesting.)

A longtime internal policy that allowed Justice Department officials to deny the existence of sensitive information could become the law of the land -- in effect a license to lie -- if a newly proposed rule becomes federal regulation in the coming weeks.

The proposed rule directs federal law enforcement agencies, after personnel have determined that documents are too delicate to be released, to respond to Freedom of Information Act requests "as if the excluded records did not exist." *(A: And that's what they'll tell you to your face: THEY DON'T EXIST; IT'S ALL A LIE.)*

Jay Sekulow, Chief Counsel of the American Center for Law and Justice, says the move appears to be in direct conflict with the administration's promise to

be more open.

"Despite all the talk of transparency, I can't think of what's less transparent than saying a document does not exist, when in fact, it does," Sekulow told Fox News.

Justice Department officials say the practice has been in effect for decades, dating back to a 1987 memo from then-Attorney General Edwin Meese. (A: *So a memo's all they need up at the top. I guess that's their form of law.*)

In that memo, and subsequent similar internal documents, Justice Department staffers were advised that they could reply to certain FOIA requests as if the documents had never been created. That policy never became part of the law -- or even codified as a federal regulation -- and it was recently challenged in court.

Earlier this year, in a case involving the Islamic Council of Southern California brought against the FBI after the plaintiffs learned about the existence of documents denied by the FBI, a federal judge in California expressed great concern about the agency using the internal policy not only in response to the FOIA (A: *The Freedom Of Information Act request.*) but to mislead the court. (A: *Well that's what they do. People seem to think we're like the movies, where they show you catastrophe movies and all the chain of command all the way up to the President, they're all so honest and they can't sleep, about worrying about the people and stuff like that. That's propaganda. We've never had transparency in ANY government, as far as I can see, ever.*)

A final version of the proposal could be issued by the end of 2011. If approved, the new rule would officially become a federal regulation with the force of law. (A: *So in other words, they can actually legalize lying for themselves, for themselves only of course, but that's what government's all about, isn't it. It's all to do with themselves, because we're just the gophers at the bottom that are kept in the dark.*)

And also, there's to do with the Eurozone crisis, I was mentioning this earlier, how they give you massive crisis that makes no sense to anybody. But the whole point is not sense; they don't have to give sense to you. The whole point of crisis is *making* crisis. It puts you off guard. It puts you off kilter. It makes you fearful. And then the whole public will say, when laws are passed like the amalgamation of Europe, like total amalgamation, no sovereignty at all, they'll say, *well I guess, what else could they do... they had to... we'd all be broke if we didn't do it.* And we'll make the excuses *ourselves*, at the bottom. So there's an article here from the Council on Foreign Relations. It's called...

The Eurozone in Crisis - cfr.org / Christopher Alessi, Associate Staff Writer / October 18, 2011

And this is really put out... there's a bit of a history lesson in it, in fact. They tell you some of the major players who helped set up the EU, what their goal was. Their goal, from the very first, was economic, *total* economic integration and then of course it meant political integration, and step by step how they managed to

bring it in, pull it off, and always lie to the public. But they're all for it at the CFR because as I say, the CFR drafted all of this up, this amalgamation for Europe a long time ago, only they called it the Royal Institute of International Affairs at the time for the British side. They also, from the CFR, admitted here they drafted up the amalgamation idea, that the Presidents and Prime Ministers signed for Canada, the US and Mexico. That was on national television in Canada in 2005 when they came out, as the CFR openly, on television and says, YEAH WE DRAFTED IT UP AND GAVE IT TO THEM TO SIGN. This is a *private* organization. I hope you realize this. Private organizations run the world. They advise, meaning they *tell* governments what to do and the CFR boasts that it's the main advisor of government. So that's really what you're living through.

And from the CFR too, is also The Health of Nations. You've probably heard about, *oh we must get everybody across the planet vaccinated*. Suddenly it's a big thing, not just to save folk from getting killed by their own administrations by bombing the populations, but we must also get everyone, there's a big hype about interactive diseases, maybe not fatal, but this is the big thing from the World Health Organization. So all the top players in the CFR go into action, the ones that are designated to do all the health blurbs for them, and then that's repeated through all major media. Because these characters who write this stuff not only are in the CFR, they are at the top of all major media.

The Health of Nations: New Interactive Disease Map - blogs.cfr.org / October 25, 2011 / Stewart M. Patrick

I'll put that up too. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and there's George from Philly hanging on the phone there. Are you there George?

George: Yes, good evening Mr Watt. How are you tonight? Not too bad?

Alan: Not too bad. It's a bit chilly outside, but it's been chilly here for a few weeks.

George: Yeah, here too. Good, good, glad to hear it. First I wanted to say before I got onto my topic, I had attempted to order your **Reality Check Part 2** but I'm having some trouble with the PayPal, so as soon as that's resolved I'll be purchasing that and perhaps a book. But onto my topic, my question. I need your insight on something currently happening in the world today. And it ties in with the celebrity scientists involved in evolution and eugenics. Okay, we had Herbert Spencer who called it survival of the fittest. Charles Darwin called it natural selection. More recently, the year I was born, matter of factly, you had Carl Sagan speaking of what he called artificial selection; I call it unnatural, particularly nowadays. But you recall he displayed a particular species of crab, whose shell, contours, resembled the face of a Japanese samurai. So the fisherman and crabbers, and what have you, would toss this crab back into the waters resulting in a bumper crop, if you will, of this species of crab. He called this artificial selection. Now the other day I came, or rather a week or two ago I came across a news article. A man here on the east coast approximately 10 years ago donated multiple amounts of sperm and they were talking about it on the mainstream news, how he has 50 kids across the country, 50 half kids. Now, I'm telling a

friend of mine about this. He can't believe it. He won't believe it. Because he's normal he can't believe that there would be a system in place like that which would, without any control. So we go to his computer to verify it and then we discover that there's another man who has 170 half children. So my question is this, and I want your insight and input on this. Is that unnatural selection, artificial selection, does it matter what you call it? And being that it is artifice, you know, it's man tampering with nature. If there is no control over this, and you know, the books you've recommended to me, they have stated many times how they wanted to alter the human species. How does this tie in to this, and in which way and why? And the reason I called you to ask is because when I read the mainstream news articles and hear about it on TV, they're not talking about potential benefits or negativities in regards to this. They're talking about the surrogate mothers now suing, looking for child support from the donors. And if you have 170 half kids out there you're in deep trouble in that regard. But you see, as we both know, mainstream news, especially local mainstream news here in Philadelphia, is all gossip. It's a magazine. It's like reading Maxim magazine really. And goes down for the money. And I just wanted to get your input on that in regards to what's true, what's not true in regards to evolution. I mean, as we all know, a great man once said, a lie that's half truth is ever the darkest of lies, or something to that degree.

Alan: And plus it's an agenda too. I mean, Science, it's a name we've all been trained to believe: *if it's scientific it must be true*. That's how we're taught to think. And of course, you're seeing so many scientific frauds coming out into the open even today. I read earlier in the week about cancer research and how it was bogus research, published for 10 years; the Mayo clinics have all taken it up so they're actually practicing bogus science, run on bogus theories, and they had to admit that eventually. You got to understand the big picture behind all of this too. In Darwin's day, and it's interesting with Darwin himself, Charles Darwin was the end product of a particular inbreeding program that was being practiced.

George: I understand Spencer wrote his stuff before Darwin. Is that correct?

Alan: Yes. And you got to understand too, that Charles Darwin's grandfather wrote basically the same book that Charles brought out.

George: What was the title of that book, by the way?

Alan: Again, it was to do with most favored races, etc, and evolution, etc.

George: What was his grandfather's name?

Alan: Oh, I can't quite remember; you'll find it on Wikipedia quite easily. The thing is though, Charles's grandfather, his father and himself all married the Wedgwood family. That's where they took their wives from.

George: The pottery, they were pottery folk, were they not?

Alan: They were more than just pottery. They weren't actually like manual workers. No. They owned massive factories, of course, very, very rich people.

George: There were like high...

Alan: Yeah. And the name itself is adopted.

George: Wedgwood wasn't their real name, you're saying?

Alan: No. It wasn't.

George: Do you know what their real name was?

Alan: You have to dig in and you'll find it yourself. You'll find, as I say, that the Darwins only married that one family for generations. And when Charles's wife died he married his mother's sister.

George: Yeah, yeah, he married his aunt.

Alan: You find too, that they were interrelated with the Huxleys, the Huxley family, and a few other big players. So what we realize is, there was a movement, not just a movement, obviously a society, at that time, and probably long, long before that - I think this is just the tail end of something that had been going on for generations. Even in ancient history Pharaohs would marry their sisters often to keep it in the family lineage.

George: I remember taking an anthropology class and they mentioned something, that royalty had something called manna and if a commoner had mated with royalty the manna would kill the pauper, if you will.

Alan: You had royal blood. That's what 'royal blood' means, the 'Sangreal,' you know. And so they had royal blood and they also...

George: Sangreal... was that the word?

Alan: That's what they used in the French, yeah. And the thing is too, even the commoners were taught that if they just touched, just *touched* the body of a king you could be cured, like he was a messiah, of a disease. And so that's what they taught the public. But this whole idea of interbreeding, genealogy goes back even into Biblical fashions.

George: What do you think of it amongst the commoners though sperm donation, where we have people who have upwards of 170 children they don't know about?

Alan: Well, I tell you, it *isn't* haphazard, number one. You see, you got to go back, again, into this movement, and the tail end of the movement too, the Huxleys, read Julian Huxley's books about special breeding the population.

George: I did read **[Brave New World] Revisited**.

Alan: Look at Julian Huxley, his brother. His brother was the first CEO of UNESCO for the United Nations and he talked about it too, the need for this. And then you go into Bertrand Russell's books, Lord Bertrand Russell, and he talked about that. He said, IF WE COULD BREED OUT THE COMMONER BY INTRODUCING NOBLE BLOOD

INTO THEM AND DO AWAY WITH THEIR INFERIOR TRAITS, he says, BUT OF COURSE THEY WOULD NEVER GO FOR THIS IF WE MADE IT LAW. So they'd have to find other ways to do it. And eventually out came these sperm banks. Now, these sperm banks are not haphazard at all.

George: They can't be.

Alan: No, they're not, no. And neither are the recipients, by the way, because these recipients...

George: Yeah, before you continue, I'm sorry. I imagine, like if I donate some sperm, I would imagine they would say, oh well this woman wants this kind of child, this donor would be appropriate for whatever their reasons might be to give birth to that child.

Alan: Yeah, but the woman *herself* will have a complete background and genealogical check for DNA to see if she's suitable for the type that they want to give her, you see. And they order this stuff in from central banks.

George: Would it be reaching too far to say they're trying to create a... well, they've said it themselves, they wanted to create a new species of humans.

Alan: Well, as I say, Bertrand Russell said, WE COULDN'T FORCE IT ON THE PUBLIC. How else will you do it?

George: You make it chic.

Alan: You make it chic, in a society where at the same time you're making men sterile.

George: Yeah. Ho-ho, I've noticed that.

Alan: You see. So you put the two things together and absolutely you've got that.

George: The new normal here is, oh, yeah, I'm 14 with a kid and my grandmother's 37. That is sick. But, you know, people grow up, it's normal. I mean, I grew up in a house with a mother and father who are still together; I'm 32. And I meet people who come from these broken families and they say, *oh you come from a nice family*, like it's a shock to them. Like a total shock. They can't believe it.

Alan: And again, this was all encouraged from the top down, not from the bottom up.

George: Right. Well, Plato said that; I have his **Republic** in the basement, which I never would have picked up if it...

Alan: Yeah, he said, ALL WOMEN WILL BE SHARED IN COMMON. And of course, people think they're free today and that sex, you just go from one to the other. Technically they've achieved their goal by telling women they're all free to have as much sex with anybody as they want. So they've achieved a goal that Plato talked

about, where women will be ultra-promiscuous, they won't want a permanent partner.

George: Gay and heterosexual people have... you know, the children of both gay and heterosexual people have 2 daddies or 2 mommies. So either way you're getting two different father figures, so to speak, both of whom are probably incompetent.

Alan: Well, they're definitely biased, you know.

George: I may be being a bit judgmental here but I don't know.

Alan: There's no doubt about it that the child's going to pick up the traits and the PC way of life of their parents.

George: He's going to pick up more traits from the father figure he sees 5 days a week than he does 2.

Alan: Yeah, but we're definitely living in a eugenical society. It's all eugenics, that's all you hear now.

George: I can recall when I was in high school we were given **Brave New World** for summer reading. I came back senior year and I was ranting and raving to my classmates and my teacher, *can't you see this is what's happening?* And no one got it. And no one read the book either, because it was summer reading. And even if it was during school time they still wouldn't have read it. They would have cheated, they all cheated. There was another thing in Philly here about a month ago, *ah, some of our highest ranking schools have been caught cheating on tests.* And I had to laugh because the cheating, I went to one of those schools and the cheating was always going on. I can remember going around during lunchroom and stealing all their cheat sheets, just to be the little, you know, the little smart punk I was back then. I guess I still am now to a degree but, you know, I'm on my way. Before I was.... oh, you were going to say something.

Alan: I was going to say too, that, I mean in places like Los Angeles there and Hollywood, it's quite common and normal for a single wealthy woman to *order* the sperm that she wants. And from what ethnic group she wants it from. What height was the father, height, the whole bit. Work...

George: Well, even those actors go back generations upon generations. I was watching a black and white adaptation, movie adaptation of Dr Jekyll and Mr Hyde and it was Barrymore, and that was like 1921.

Alan: And don't forget too, that people in the societies who are all for this global government, it's not just about government, it's a whole different society they're talking about, you understand. And even Shelley, Shelley when he was with a bunch of other writers and famous people of his day, and his wife...

George: Shelley of **Frankenstein**?

Alan: Yeah. They actually talked about this. His wife wrote the **Frankenstein**

book, you know.

George: Well, she did write a book about a scientist making a man.

Alan: Yeah, she did.

George: You know what I don't understand, in the science fiction I grew up with, these mad eugenicists and Social Darwinists and the creatures attempting to turn humans into a collective intelligent hive mind, they were always the villain. So why would you depict the villain in order to push what is the goal? Wouldn't you have the hero trying to make that happen?

Alan: Well actually, this is the trick with psychology and how often you bring in the opposite of what you *think*, what *you* think, when you've got limited knowledge of what's going on, what you think is supposed to be brought in. It's an occultic technique, there's a lot of occultic techniques in behavior modification, ruling behavior, upgrading behavior. There's a lot of tricks played, and the victim must never really know how it's happening, or it won't work. So you play the same things which have been *proven* to work over thousands of years. And it's also a technique of making you feel safe... *oh, that will never happen... oh, that could never happen.* And what it's actually doing, even as you're watching those kind of movies or reading those books, it's programming you to the *possibility*. So it opens your mind to it and familiarizes you with a sequence of events.

George: Oh, you know what? I remember that happening. I was watching, years ago, an episode of the 1990s animated X-Men series. And they were showing the origin of one of their mad eugenicist villains, who they called Mr Sinister. And you know, sinister has two meanings. It means 'to the left' and in the modern sense 'evil.'

Alan: That's right.

George: And I could go on about that but I'll put that on hold. But anyhow, at the end of the episode, my boy turns to me and says, *well why were the townspeople chasing the Sinister character out of town, if he was just healing people?* And I turned to him and said, *well you know sinister just means to the left*, so he was just thinking to the left I suppose. And that corroborates what you just said, before I gave that little anecdote to that cartoon I watched as a teenager.

Alan: There's the left-hand path and then the right-hand path, or dexterous.

George: Now, I always wondered, why is the left associated with evil, going back forever?

Alan: It goes back into ancient times where it all began, where the religions came out of in fact, even in desert peoples, to do with hygiene and what hand you use for certain things. Whereas the other one was clean and you could shake hands, etc, but the other one was always considered dirty. But because they were so much down on women they always associated that, eventually, when it came into the knightly orders, of being sinister as well. Not quite clean, not quite open, devious - that's another term they gave to it too. So that's how that came out to

be.

George: What exactly does mercurial mean?

Alan: Mercurial? I might touch on that when I come back from this break. Hold on.

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix. Just to explain mercurial. I suppose it's not the computer type, mercurial, that the caller meant, but it's to do with mercury itself, because mercury is a planet that's got a strange orbit. It comes really close in and then far out again. In other words, it's fire and water; that's what they call it, fire and water. And fire and water also pertains to the temperament of the person, where you're quick to anger but you can also be quick to cool down. It also means as well, I mean all the symbols of mercury - wherever you see symbols anywhere take good note of them - because mercury was the messenger, remember, of the Gods. And mercury also, as I say, it's fire and water, it's two different things, but it's the patron saint as well for soldiers, mercenaries; that's where you get 'merc' from, mercenary, and merchants. So it's odd, that, isn't it? Merchants too. Just like today, you know, you get the mercenaries who go in first and take down a country and the merchants, or the corporations as we call them today, take over and plunder them. So that's their patron saint, is the messenger. And of course, he brings from God the wrath of God, or he can bring peaceful tidings depending if you just give in and surrender first or whatever.

So symbols are highly important by the societies who rule today. Look at the big corporate logos and study them because you'll learn an awful, awful lot about, really, how they really work. And where they'll never admit something blatantly to you, or to the media in your spoken language, they certainly will represent it by the emblems that they actually use. And always remember that. Look at the emblem for the United Nations for instance, and you'll see the oak leaves; that's for victory. The victory of what? Conquering the planet. That's what it's for. And then look at all the sections, as they cut the globe into grids, and count them and those who have been into studying Masonry and different stuff will understand what it all means, and quite openly too.

I'd like to finish tonight too, by putting up communitarianism is the way for the future, in the US too. It's got many names, by the way, Agenda 21, sustainable living, the Millennium Project, all from the United Nations, are involved now with the housing and urban development projects across the States. And the US government's put in millions and millions of dollars into it now. It says...

HUD AWARDS NEARLY \$100 MILLION IN NEW GRANTS TO PROMOTE SMARTER AND SUSTAINABLE PLANNING FOR JOBS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

portal.hud.gov / HUD No. 10-233 / Brian Sullivan / October 14, 2010

Part of Obama Administration's Partnership for Sustainable Communities
WASHINGTON - For the first time ever, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is awarding nearly \$100 million in new grants to support more livable and sustainable communities across the country. HUD Secretary Shaun Donovan today announced that 45 regional areas (A: *Again*,

they're cutting in to regions in the States.) will receive funding through a new initiative intended to build economic competitiveness (*A: Who are you going to compete with, I don't know, China?*) by connecting housing with good jobs, quality schools and transportation.

Now remember, when you look at all of this and you've read the Agenda 21, it says quite plainly, THERE'LL BE NO PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION IN THESE COMMUNITIES. And it's true enough, some of them that they've built already elsewhere, you're not allowed to travel through by car, unless it's ESSENTIAL VEHICLES ONLY, ambulance, fire and so on, police. And that's to be the same way in Britain. Now, they told people in Britain, for years, to *go by the commercial trains and buses*, etc, and *you don't need your cars anymore*. Now they're into communitarianism, they're actually cutting thousands of jobs on the railroads, etc. In other words, you're stuck in your little community just like the new soviet, which we actually are all in, or the rest of us will be shortly. That's your new world order, but we don't have to live that long, by 2050 most of us will be gone.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you. **Remember, buy the books and the disks at cuttingthroughthematrix.com.**

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Syria Next on List](#)

[Mexican Drug Suspect Claims US Gave him Immunity](#)

[Justice Department Proposes Legalizing Government Lying](#)

[Eurozone in Crisis--CFR -- Some History on EU Amalgamation](#)

[CFR and Pushing Big Pharma Vaccination Business](#)

[Communitarian Housing Planning in US](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | | |
|--------------|---|---|
| BOOKS | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português) |
| CDs | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| DVDs | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" | |

